1 HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire California State Bar No. 107601 2 30 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard ORIGINAL FI 3 San Anselmo, California 94960-1949 Telephone: (415) 258-0360 FEB 1 9 1993 4 PAUL MORANTZ, ESQ. LOS ANGELES 5 P.O. Box 511 Pacific Palisades, CA 90272 STIPERIOR COURT (310) 459-47456 7 Attorney for Defendants RECEIVED GERALD ARMSTRONG and 8 THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION FEB 1 9 1993 9 **HUB LAW OFFICES** 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 12 13 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY No. BC 052 395 14 INTERNATIONAL, a California not-for-profit religious corporation; DECLARATION OF FORD GREENE 15 IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO 16 Plaintiffs, MOTION TO COMPEL 17 VS. Date: February 19, 1993 Time: 8:30 a.m. 18 GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, Dept: 30 19 Defendants. Discovery Cut Off: None Motion Cut-Off: 20 Trial Date: May 3, 1993 21

FORD GREENE declares:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the Courts of the State of California and am the attorney of record for GERALD ARMSTRONG and THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION, defendants herein.
 - 2. In its reply brief concerning the instant motion,

22

28

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360 HUB LAW OFFICES
Ford Greene, Esquire
711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd.
San Anselmo, CA 94960
(415) 258-0360

plaintiff CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA ("Scientology") has
asserted that on October 8, 1992 I stipulated to extend the time
within which Scientology could bring a motion to compel Mr.
Armstrong to further answer certain deposition questions. This is
incorrect and inaccurate.

- 3. During Scientology's depositions of Mr. Armstrong Scientology's counsel and I have consistently agreed to the following stipulations. Those stipulations were:
- a. That Mr. Armstrong would not be required to go to the Court Reporter's office in order to review and correct the transcript of each session of his deposition; and
- b. That Scientology could be the custodian of the original deposition transcript.

At Mr. Armstrong's first deposition session on June 24, 1992, it was agreed as follows:

MR. GREENE: Let me interrupt you just for a second. For the record, we've agreed that as to the sessions, or at least as to this session of Mr. Armstrong's deposition, when the reporter has completed the transcription of the original, it will be sent care of me to Mr. Armstrong; he will make his corrections and then return it directly to Bowles, B-O-W-L-E-S [and Moxon].

MS. BARTILSON: And we'll retained [sic] custody of the original. That's fine.

(5:10-18 of Excerpts of Deposition of Gerald Armstrong taken June 24, 1992, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A)

At Mr. Armstrong's second deposition session on July 24, 1992, the same stipulations applied as follows:

MR. WILSON: This is a continuation of the deposition of Gerald Armstrong from June 24, and we'll have the same stipulations that we had at the previous deposition, and Mr. Armstrong is under oath from that previous deposition.

(182:2-6 of Excerpts of Deposition of Gerald Armstrong taken July 22, 1992, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit B)

At Mr. Armstrong's third deposition session on October 7, 1992 the same stipulations applied (except that the original no longer would be sent to Mr. Armstrong) as follows:

MR. GREENE: And we ask in this deposition that the same stipulations apply that we had previously; that objections are reserved, and the original will be mailed to Armstrong for his corrections, but then it will go directly back to your office.

MS. BARTILSON: I do have one problem with the second part. I was discussing this with the court reporter earlier.

They have a policy that if the original goes to the deponent for signature and the deponent does not order a copy of the deposition, they'll charge me for two copies, on the theory that you will take a copy of the original.

So, if you agree now to order a copy from the court reporter, and you do that, then it's fine to send the original to Mr. Armstrong for signature. Otherwise, I will have to ask him to go to the court reporter's office to sign.

(299:13-300:25 of Excerpts of Deposition of Gerald Armstrong taken October 7, 1992, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit C)

At the fourth session of Mr. Armstrong's deposition, I agreed to the same stipulations as had been entered into at the previous three sessions, that is that Mr. Armstrong would be excused from going to the court reporter's office in order to review and correct the transcript of his deposition. The full text of this discussion is as follows:

MS. BARTILSON: Q. Subject to the motions to compel -- or motion to compel that has been made necessary by some of the objections raised in all the different sessions of your deposition, and subject to the possibility that some of the -- I won't say that. Never mind.

Subject to the motion to compel, I think I'm ready to conclude your deposition on behalf of plaintiff in this case, Church of Scientology International.

Now, do you want a stipulation or not.

MR. GREENE: Let's see.

Yes. I just want to have the stipulation that a copy that we obtain will suffice for review purposes so that Mr. Armstrong need not sally forth to your office, Madame Court Reporter, to conduct a review.

MS. BARTILSON: So the court reporter will send you a copy of the deposition?

MR. GREENE: Correct.

MS. BARTILSON: Which he may review?

MR. GREENE: Right.

MS. BARTILSON: How long does he want?

MR. GREENE: Standard time. Thirty days.

MS. BARTILSON: And copies of the deposition, in the meantime, can be used for any purposes and corrected copies can be used for any purposes in this litigation?

MR. GREENE: No, I won't stipulate that copies can be used without limitation for any purpose, because without him having had a chance to review it, I won't do that.

MS. BARTILSON: Once he reviewed it.

MR. GREENE: Yes, of course. The corrections.

MS. BARTILSON: The corrections, once you have completed them, you will forward the corrections to me as I will be the custodian of the original transcript and the corrections.

MR. GREENE: Yes, that's fine.

MS. BARTILSON: And a corrected transcript can be used for any purposes in this litigation.

1

MR. GREENE:

Procedure section 2025 (o).

believe them to be true.

Yeah.

2

hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit D)

MS. BARTILSON: So stipulated.

October 8, 1992, a true and correct copy of which is attached

extend the time within which to bring a motion to compel further

time within which to move to compel the production of any

documents. As had been for all of Mr. Armstrong's deposition

conduct his review in the court reporter's office, and to avoid

Scientology's use of the deposition "without limitation for any

Scientology had in mind. At no time was it my intent, nor did I

even consider extending the time limits imposed by Code of Civil

California I hereby declare that the foregoing is true and correct

according to my first-hand knowledge, except those matters stated

Executed on February 19, 1993, at San Anselmo, California

to be on information and belief, and as to those matters, I

Under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of

sessions, my intent was to prevent Armstrong from having to

purpose" without further definition of specifically what

responses to Mr. Armstrong's deposition sessions, or to extend the

(521:22-523:13 of Excerpts of Deposition of Gerald Armstrong taken

Ar reflected above, at no time did I ever stipulate to

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd.

San Anselmo, CA 94960

(415) 258-0360

1 1 IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 --000--4 5 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY ORIGINAL INTERNATIONAL, a California 6 not-for-profit religious corporation, 7 Plaintiff, 8 VS. Case No. BC 052395 9 GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, 10 Defendants. 11 12 RECEIVED 13 JUL 1 0 1992 14 HUB LAW OFFICES 15 16 DEPOSITION OF 17 GERALD ARMSTRONG 18 19 Wednesday, June 24, 1992 20 21 22 23 24 25 REPORTED BY: SUSAN M. SKIGEN, CSR #5829

		2
1	I N D E X	2
2	EXAMINATION BY:	AGE
3	MR. WILSON	5
4	000	
5	EXHIBITS	
6		
7	PLAINTIFF'S FOR IDENTIFICATION DESCRIPTION P	AGE
8	No. 1 Letter to Andrew H. Wilson, Esq, from Gerald Armstrong, dated	
9	June 20, 1992	7
10	No. 2 Two-page letter to Andrew H. Wilson, Esquire, from Gerald Armstrong,	
11	dated June 20, 1992	7
12	No. 3 Fax cover sheet and letter to Andrew H. Wilson, Esq. from Gerald	
13	Armstrong, dated June 19, 1992	7
14	No. 4 Amended Notice of Taking the Deposition of Defendant Gerald	7
	Armstrong	/
16 17	No. 5 Civil Subpoena and attached Notice of Taking Depositon of the Gerald Armstrong Corporation	7
18	No. 6 Document entitled Mutual Release of	
19	All Claims and Settlement Agreement	149
20	00	
21		
22		
23		
2 4		

3
IN AND FOR THE SUPERIOR COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
00
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY
INTERNATIONAL, a California not-for-profit religious corporation,
Plaintiff,
vs. Case No. BC 052395
GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,
Defendants.
BE IT REMEMBERED on Wednesday, June 24,
1992, commencing at 10:20 a.m. thereof, at the Law
Offices of WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO, 235 Montgomery
Street, Suite 450, San Francisco, California 94104,
before me, SUSAN M. SKIGEN, Certified Shorthand Reporter
No. 5829 for the State of California, personally
appeared
GERALD ARMSTRONG,
called as a witness, who having been first duly sworn,
was examined and interrogated as hereinafter set forth.

--000--

APPEARANCES

LAW OFFICES OF WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO,

Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff.

LAW OFFICES OF BOWLES & MOXON, 6255 Sunset

Boulevard, Suite 2000, Hollywood, California 90028,

represented by LAURIE J. BARTILSON, Attorney at Law,

appeared as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff.

235 Montgomery Street, Suite 450, San Francisco,

California, 94104, represented by ANDREW H. WILSON,

FORD GREENE, Attorney at Law, 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard, San Anselmo, California 94960, appeared as counsel on behalf of the Defendant.

ALSO PRESENT: Matt Ward, Paralegal, Law Offices of Wilson, Ryan & Campilongo.

--000--

MARY HILLABRAND INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

520 SUTTER STREET / off UNION SQUARE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

PHONE 415 / 788-5350 FAX 415 / 788-0657

EXAMINATION BY MR. WILSON

MR. WILSON: Q. Mr. Armstrong, as you know my name is Andy Wilson and I'm representing the Church of Scientology International in the action that's been filed against you originally in Marin County, transferred to Los Angeles.

I know that you've had your deposition taken before; is that correct?

A. Yes.

MR. GREENE: Let me interrupt you just for a second. For the record, we've agreed that as to the sessions, or at least this session of Mr. Armstrong's deposition, when the reporter has completed the transcription of the original, it will be sent care of me to Mr. Armstrong; he will make his corrections and then return it directly to Bowles, B-o-w-l-e-s.

MS. BARTILSON: And we'll retained custody of the original. That's fine.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WILSON: Q. I'm going to shorten my customary preamble.

As you know, and as Ms.

Bartilson and Mr. Greene just discussed, when the questions and answers are transcribed, they'll be put in booklet form and sent to Mr. Greene as he described.

When that happens, you'll have an

opportunity to make any changes that you feel are necessary to correct your testimony.

However, if the deposition is used at trial, I'll be able to comment on the changes that you do make.

It's important that the record that we create here be clear, so it's important that you answer audibly with words as opposed to gestures or sounds so that the record will be clear.

It's also important that you and I not talk at the same time. So you should wait until I finish my questions before you begin your answers and I will wait till you finish your answers before I ask my next question.

Do you understand those grounds rules so far?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is there any reason that you can not testify here today?
- A. There are reasons why I should not, but there aren't any reasons why I cannot.
- Q. Are you under the influence of any drugs or medication which affect your memory?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you recall sending me several letters

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

--000--

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, a California not-for-profit religious corporation,



Plaintiff,

VS.

No. BC 052395

GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1 through 25, inclusve,

Defendants.

RECEIVED

AUG 2 1 1992 **HUB LAW OFFICES**

DEPOSITION OF

GERALD ARMSTRONG

Wednesday, July 22, 1992

Volume II, Pages 179 - 293

REPORTED BY: KATHERINE NG, CSR NO. 6350

MARY HILLABRAND INC.

520 SUTTER STREET / Off UNION SQUARE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

PHONE 415 / 788-5350 FAX 415 / 788-0657

INDEX

EXAMINATION BY:

PAGE

MR. WILSON:

182

--000--

EXHIBITS

IDE	NTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
No.	7	16-page declaration by Gerald Armstrong	182
No.	8	Two-page Supporting Declaration of Gerry Armstrong to Defendants' and Counter-Claimants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' and Counter-Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Re Fifth Request for Production of Documents or Things and For Sanctions	219

--000--

1	BE IT REMEMBERED that on Wednesday, July 22,
2	1992, commencing at 9:30 a.m., thereof, at the Law
3	Offices of WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO, 235 Montgomery
4	Street, Suite 450, San Francisco, California 94105,
5	before me, KATHERINE L. NG, a Certified Shorthand
6	Reporter in and for the State of California, personally
7	appeared
8	GERALD ARMSTRONG,
9	called as a witness who, having been previously sworn,
10	was examined and testified as follows:
11	000
12	
13	
14	
15	APPEARANCES
16	
17	WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO, 235 Montgomery
18	Street, Suite 450, San Francisco, California 94105,
19	represented by ANDREW WILSON, Attorney at Law, appeared
20	as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff.
20	
	as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff.
21	as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. HUB LAW OFFICES, 711 Sir Francis Drake

ALSO PRESENT: MATTHEW WARD.

1	000
2	MR. WILSON: This is a continuation of the
3	deposition of Gerald Armstrong from June 24, and we'll
4	have the same stipulations that we had at the previous
5	deposition, and Mr. Armstrong is under oath from that
6	previous deposition.
7	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 was marked.)
8	MR. WILSON: We have marked for identification
9	Exhibit 7, a declaration of Gerald Armstrong dated June
10	16, 1991.
11	00
12	EXAMINATION BY MR. WILSON
13	MR. WILSON: Q. Mr. Armstrong, do you
14	recognize what I have had marked as Exhibit 7?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Can you tell me what it is?
17	A. It is a declaration which I executed on July
18	16, 1991.
19	Q. Who drafted it?
20	A. I did.
21	Q. And do you know what case it was filed in?
22	A. Not for sure, no.
23	Q. Can you tell me what case you believe it was
24	filed in?

A.

Azneran.

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN 3 --000--5 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, a California CERTIFIED 6 not-for-profit religious corporation, 7 Plaintiff, 8 VS. No. BC 052395 9 GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1-25, 10 inclusive, 11 Defendants. 12 13 RECEIVED 14 OCT 26 1992 15 **HUB LAW OFFICES** 16 17 DEPOSITION OF 18 GERALD ARMSTRONG 19 20 Volume III 21 October 7, 1992 22 23 24

LARRY BOSTOW, CSR# 5941

REPORTED BY:

1		I N D E X	
2			
3	EXAMINATION BY:		PAGE
4			
5	MS. BARTILSON:		298
6		÷ ·	
7			
8		EXHIBITS	
9	PLAINTIFF'S FOR		
10	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
11			
12	9 Armstrong	declaration, 7/16/91	312
13	10 Armstrong a	affidavit, 12/6/86	319
14	11 "Declaration	on of Gerald Armstrong in	
15	Opposition	to Motion to Exclude Expert	
16	Testimony"	in Aznaran v. Church of	
17	Scientolog	y of California, and attachments	322
18	12 "Declarati	on of Gerald Armstrong Regarding	
19	_ Alleged 'T	aint' of Joseph A. Yanny, Esquire,"	
20	in <u>Aznaran</u>	v. Church of Scientology of	
`21	<u>California</u>	, and attachments	329
22	13 Document h	eaded "Where: Marin Superior	
23	Court"		3 18
24	14 Armstrong	affidavit, 2/19/92, and attachment	390
25	·	000	

MARY HILLABRAND INC.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

520 SUTTER STREET / off UNION SQUARE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 PHONE 415 / 788-5350 FAX 415 / 788-0657

1	BE IT REMEMBERED that on Wednesday, October
2	7, 1992, commencing at 10 a.m. thereof, at 235 Montgomery
3	Street, Suite 450, San Francisco, California, before me,
4	LARRY BOSTOW, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
5	the State of California, personally appeared
6	GERALD ARMSTRONG,
7	who, having been first duly sworn, was examined and
8	testified as follows:
9	00
10	
11	
12	BOWLES & MOXON, 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Suite
13	2000, Hollywood, California 90028, represented by LAURIE
14	J. BARTILSON, Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on
15	behalf of the Plaintiff.
16	
17	HUB LAW OFFICES, 711 Sir Francis Drake
18	Boulevard, San Anselmo, California 94960, represented by
19	FORD GREENE, Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on
20	behalf of the Defendants.
21	
22	Also present: Mathew Ward.
23	
24	000

1	EXAMINATION BY MS. BARTILSON
2	MS. BARTILSON: Q. Mr. Armstrong, you
3	understand this is a continuation of your deposition
4	that's already been started in this case by Mr. Wilson;
5	is that correct?
6	A. Right.
7	Q. Do you have any difficulty hearing me with
8	the noise of the street?
9	MR. GREENE: Yes.
10	MS. BARTILSON: Maybe we could close the
11	window.
12	MR. GREENE: Either that or if we could just
13	speak up. I mean, this room has no circulation.
14	MS. BARTILSON: I have a sore throat, so J'm
15	not sure I'm going to be able to holler too loud.
16	But don't close it all the way.
17	THE WITNESS: It would be my preference
18	to
19	MS. BARTILSON: Don't close it all the way.
20	Leave it open a little bit.
21	THE WITNESS: close it.
22	MS. BARTILSON: I'll do my best to speak up,
23	but I am hoarse this morning for some reason.

MR. GREENE: Okay.

MS. BARTILSON:



24

25



Q.

If at any time you have

1	difficulty hearing me and you don't understand the
2	question, please ask me to repeat it.
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. You've been deposed many times before, have
5	you not, Mr. Armstrong?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. So I will not repeat for you the admonitions
8 .	that I normally would for a witness.
9	You understand that you are under oath and
10	you should wait until I finish a question before you
11	respond, and all that stuff; right?
12	A. Right.
13	MR. GREENE: And we ask in this session that
14	the same stipulations apply that we had previously: that
15	objections are reserved, and the original will be mailed
16	to Armstrong for his corrections, but then it will go
17	directly back to your office.
18	MS. BARTILSON: I do have one problem with
19	the second part. I was discussing this with the court
20	reporter earlier.
21	They have a policy that if the original goes
22	to the deponent for signature and the deponent does not
23	order a copy of the deposition, that they'll charge me
24	for two copies, on the theory that you will take a copy
25	of the original.



1 So, if you agree now to order a copy from 2 the court reporter, and you do that, then it's fine to 3 send the original to Mr. Armstrong for signature. 4 Otherwise, I will have to ask him to go to the court 5 reporter's office to sign. 6 THE WITNESS: I will not go to the court 7 reporter's office, so I think that your only solution is 8 to go along with the court reporter's request. 9 MS. BARTILSON: I'm not going to pay for two 10 copies of the deposition, Gerry. If you want a copy of 11 it, you'll have to pay for it. And the statute requires 12 you to go to the court reporter's office to sign it if we 13 can't agree on a stipulation. 14 MR. GREENE: We'll have to work it out 15 later. 16 But how about as to the objections? 17 MS. BARTILSON: As to the objections, it's 18 fine. 19 THE WITNESS: Well, I don't think we should 20 work it out later, because that would be a great waste of 21 everyone's time because I won't go to the court 22 reporter's office. 23 MS. BARTILSON: Q. Then you will be waiving 24 your opportunity to read and sign the deposition. 25 fine. It's up to you.



1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN 3 --000--4 CERTIFIED 5 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, a California 6 not-for-profit religious corporation, 7 Plaintiff, 8 No. BC 052395 VS. 9 Gerald Armstrong; Does 1-25, inclusive, 10 11 Defendants. RECEIVED 12 NOV 1 0 1992 13 HUB LAW OFFICES 14 15 DEPOSITION OF 16 GERALD ARMSTRONG 17 18 Thursday, October 8, 1992 19 VOLUME IV 20 21 22 23 24 REPORTED BY: BARBARA H. STOCKFORD, CSR No. 4575 25

24

	415
1	000
2	BE IT REMEMBERED that on Thursday, October
3	8, 1992, commencing at the hour of 10:00 a.m. thereof, at
4	235 Montgomery Street, Suite 450, San Francisco,
5	California 94105, before me, Barbara H. Stockford, a
6	Certified Shorthand Reporter, there personally appeared
7	GERALD ARMSTRONG,
8	called as a witness, who, being by me first duly sworn,
9	was thereafter examined and testified as hereinafter set
10	forth.
11	000
12	
13	APPEARANCES
14	
15	BOWLES & MOXON, Attorneys At Law, 6255
16	Sunset Boulevard, Suite 2000, Hollywood, California,
17	90028, represented by LAURIE J. BARTILSON, Attorney at
18	Law, appeared as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff.

HUB LAW OFFICES, 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard, San Anselmo, California, 94960, represented by FORD GREENE, Attorney at Law, appeared as counsel on

22 behalf of the Defendants.

ALSO PRESENT: MR. MATT WARD, BOWLES &

24 MOXON.

19

20

21

23

25

--000--

documents responsive to that request?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

- A. I have documents in response to parts of it, and the corporation has documents. And my understanding is this is a very difficult paragraph to understand; that the corporation has some documents which, if I read it right, refer in some way to the Internal Revenue Service. The corporation has corresponded with the Internal Revenue Service.
- Q. The corporation has literary artistic work which refers or relates to the Internal Revenue Service?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But it doesn't have any which refer or relate to your experiences with any of the entities or individuals listed in the settlement agreement?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. But you have documents?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Why don't we take a short break here and we can wrap, Jerry.
- MR. GREENE: Okay. Sounds fine.

21 (Recess.)

MS. BARTILSON: Q. Subject to the motions to compel -- or motion to compel that has been made necessary by some of the objections raised in all the different sections of your deposition, and subject to

the possibility that some of the -- I won't say that. 1 2 Never mind. Subject to the motion to compel, I think I'm 3 ready to conclude your deposition on behalf of plaintiff 4 in this case, Church of Scientology International. 5 Now, do you want to do a stipulation or not? 6 7 MR. GREENE: Let's see. I just want to have the stipulation 8 that a copy that we obtain will suffice for review 9 purposes so that Mr. Armstrong need not sally forth to 10 your office, Madam Court Reporter, to conduct a review. 11 MS. BARTILSON: So the court reporter will 12 send to you a copy of the deposition? 13 Correct. 14 MR. GREENE: Which he may review? 15 MS. BARTILSON: 16 MR. GREENE: Right. 17 How long does he want? MS. BARTILSON: Standard time. Thirty days. 18 MR. GREENE: MS. BARTILSON: And copies of the 19 20 deposition, in the meantime, can be used for any purposes and corrected copies can be used for any purposes in this 21 22 litigation? 23 No, I won't stipulate that MR. GREENE: copies can be used without limitation for any purpose,

because without him having a chance to review it, I won't

24

1	do that.
2	MS. BARTILSON: Once he's reviewed it?
3	MR. GREENE: Yes, of course. The
4	corrections.
5	MS. BARTILSON: The corrections, once you
6	have completed them, you will forward the corrections to
7	me as I will be the custodian of the original transcript
8	and the corrections.
9	MR. GREENE: Yes, that's fine.
10	MS. BARTILSON: And a corrected transcript
11	can be used for any purposes in this litigation.
12	MR. GREENE: Yeah.
13	MS. BARTILSON: So stipulated.
14	(Deposition concluded.)
15	000
16	
17	
18	
19	GERALD ARMSTRONG
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	